Mosyakin, S.L. 2013. New nomenclatural combinations in *Blitum, Oxybasis, Chenopodiastrum*, and *Lipandra* (Chenopodiaceae). Phytoneuron 2013-56: 1–8. Published 12 August 2013. ISSN 2153 733X

# NEW NOMENCLATURAL COMBINATIONS IN BLITUM, OXYBASIS, CHENOPODIASTRUM, AND LIPANDRA (CHENOPODIACEAE)

SERGEI L. MOSYAKIN M.G. Kholodny Institute of Botany National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine 2 Tereshchenkivska Street Kiev (Kyiv), 01601 Ukraine inst@botany.kiev.ua

#### ABSTRACT

Following the recent recognition of several genera segregated from *Chenopodium* sensu lato (Chenopodiaceae), new nomenclatural combinations are proposed in the genera Blitum L. (sect. Atriplicina (Aellen) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Blitum capitatum L. subsp. hastatum (Rydb.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Blitum × tkalcsicsii (H. Melzer) Mosyakin, comb. nov. = B. bonus-henricus × B. virgatum), Oxybasis Kar. & Kir. (sect. Pseudoblitum (Hook. f.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; sect. Glauca (Standl.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; sect. Urbica (Standl.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; sect. Thellungia (Aellen) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Oxybasis antarctica (Hook. f.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Oxybasis erosa (R. Br.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Oxybasis glauca (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch subsp. ambigua (R. Br.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Oxybasis glauca subsp. amurensis (Ignatov) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Oxybasis glauca subsp. salina (Standl.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Oxybasis rubra (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch var. humilis (Hook.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Oxybasis  $\times$ schulzeana (Murr) Mosyakin, comb. nov. = O. glauca  $\times$  O. rubra), Chenopodiastrum S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (sect. Grossefoveata (Mosyakin) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Chenopodiastrum fasciculosum (Aellen) Mosyakin, comb. nov.; Chenopodiastrum fasciculosum var. schimperi (Asch.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.), and Lipandra (Lipandra polysperma (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch var. acutifolia (Sm.) Mosyakin, comb. nov.). The name × Lipastrum Mosyakin is proposed for intergeneric hybrids Chenopodiastrum × Lipandra, as well as the new combination × Lipastrum perhybridum (Ponert) Mosyakin, comb. nov. (*Chenopodiastrum hybridum × Lipandra polysperma*).

KEY WORDS: Chenopodiaceae, Blitum, Chenopodium, Chenopodiastrum, Lipandra, Oxybasis, taxonomy

Since the publication of treatments of Chenopodiaceae Vent. in the *Flora of North America* North of Mexico (Clemants & Mosyakin 2003) and the *Flora of China* (Zhu et al. 2003), in which species formerly placed in *Chenopodium* s. 1. were redistributed between *Chenopodium* L. and *Dysphania* R. Br. (Mosyakin & Clemants 2002, 2008), our understanding of phylogeny and taxonomy of *Chenopodium* and related taxa considerably changed, mainly due to recent molecular phylogenetic studies (Kadereit et al. 2003, 2005, 2010; Fuentes-Bazan et al. 2012a, 2012b). Kadereit et al. (2003) initially identified three phylogenetically distinct clades corresponding to commonly recognized subgenera of *Chenopodium* (subgen. *Chenopodium*, subgen. *Blitum* (L.) I. Hiitonen, and subgen. *Ambrosia* A.J. Scott) or segregate genera (*Chenopodium* s. str., *Blitum* L., and *Dysphania* R. Br. emend. Mosyakin & Clemants, respectively).

In all modern phylogenetic schemes, this new circumscription of Dysphania (Mosyakin &

Clemants 2002, 2008) was generally confirmed by molecular phylogenetic methods (Kadereit et al. 2003, 2005, 2010; Fuentes-Bazan et al. 2012a, 2012b) and recognized in recent taxonomic treatments (e.g., Uotila 2013), with the only exception of possible generic recognition of *Teloxys* Moq. s. str. containing *T. aristata* (L.) Moq. (Kadereit et al. 2010; Fuentes-Bazan et al. 2012a, 2012b). However, new and rather unexpected clades were recently identified in the groups attributed to *Chenopodium* s. str. and *Blitum* (Fuentes-Bazan et al. 2012a, 2012b). Consequently, generic status was proposed for several other segregates of *Chenopodium* s. 1.

The new taxonomic scheme based on molecular phylogenetic findings was summarized by S. Fuentes-Bazan et al. (2012b), who recognized segregate genera *Teloxys*, *Dysphania*, *Blitum*, and *Chenopodium* s. str. (including *Einadia* Raf. and *Rhagodia* R. Br.), resurrected the long-forgotten generic names *Lipandra* Moq. and *Oxybasis* Kar. & Kir., and described the new genus *Chenopodiastrum* S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch. Necessary new combinations were provided, but mainly at the species rank, while no infrageneric taxa were proposed. However, several taxa (mostly infrageneric and infraspecific ones, but also some species), earlier validated, discussed, or mentioned in treatments by Standley (1916), Aellen (Aellen 1960–1961, Aellen and Iljin 1936; Aellen and Just 1943, etc.), Scott (1978), and in our treatments for the *Flora of North America North of Mexico* (Clemants & Mosyakin 2003), *Flora of China* (Zhu et al. 2003), *Flora Europae Orientalis* (Mosyakin 1996, 2012b), and several articles (Mosyakin & Clemants 1996; Mosyakin 1996, 2002, 2003, etc.), still have no names available in the newly recognized genera. The new combinations for these taxa are validated below.

#### BLITUM L.

# Blitum L. sect. Blitum

# Blitum capitatum L. aggregate

Mountain races (species and subspecies) of the Eurasian *Blitum virgatum* L. aggregate tend to have the perianth non-succulent and/or more or less hardened in fruit (see Uotila 1979, 1993, 1997, 2001; Fuentes-Bazan et al. 2012b). These mountain plants are currently recognized as a subspecies, *B. virgatum* subsp. *montanum* (Uotila) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*Chenopodium foliosum* Asch. subsp. *montanum* Uotila), and three species: *B. litwinowii* (Paulsen) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*C. litwinowii* (Paulsen) Uotila), *B. korshinskyi* Litv. (*C. korshinskyi* (Litv.) Minkw.), and *B. petiolare* Link (*C. exsuccum* (C. Loscos) Uotila).

A similar situation is observed in the *Blitum capitatum* aggregate in North America. In our opinion (Clemants & Mosyakin 2003), *B. capitatum* is native to North America and its occurrence in Europe and elsewhere is due to its introduction and/or former cultivation. North American mountain races of *B. capitatum* often differ from typical plants in having smaller non-fleshy fruiting glomerules and leaf blades cuneate to truncate at base, with margins almost entire or at least less strongly toothed than those in *B. capitatum* s. str. Specimens morphologically intermediate between the two native North American races are documented in North American herbarium material. Because of that. in our *Flora of North America* treatment (Clemants & Mosyakin 2003) we recognized the plants with non-fleshy perianth as a variety (following Welsh 1984); however, the second coauthor preferred subspecies rank but did not insist on formalizing his opinion at that time. The new combination for the subspecies is validated below. If this taxon is recognized as a separate species (Fuentes-Bazan et al. 2012b), its correct name would be *B. hastatum* Rydb.

Blitum capitatum L. subsp. hastatum (Rydb.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Blitum hastatum Rydb., Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 28: 273. 1901. Chenopodium overi Aellen, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 26: 159. 1929.

Chenopodium capitatum (L.) Ambrosi var. parvicapitatum S.L. Welsh, Great Basin Naturalist 44: 199. 1984.

Hybrids of *Blitum bonus-henricus* (L.) C. A. Mey. and *B. virgatum* L. have been described from Europe as *Chenopodium*  $\times$  *tkalcsicsii* H. Melzer (as *Chenopodium bonus-henricus* L.  $\times$  C. *foliosum* Asch.) (Melzer 1987); now this name has to be formally transferred to *Blitum*.

Blitum × tkalcsicsii (H. Melzer) Mosyakin, comb. nov. *Chenopodium × tkalcsicsii* H. Melzer, Ber. Bayer. Bot. Ges. 58: 75. 1987.

# Blitum L. sect. Atriplicina (Aellen) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium L. sect. Atriplicina Aellen, Verh. Naturforsch. Ges. Basel 41: 99. 1931.

This section contains only one morphologically distinctive species, *Blitum atriplicinum* F. Muell. (also known as *Chenopodium atriplicinum* (F. Muell.) F. Muell. and *Scleroblitum atriplicinum* (F. Muell.) Ulbr.), which is native to southeastern Australia. The species was erroneously placed by Scott (1978) in *Chenopodium* subgen. *Ambrosia* A.J. Scott (now a separate genus *Dysphania*).

## OXYBASIS Kar. & Kir.

This long-forgotten generic name was resurrected by Fuentes-Bazan et al. (2012b) to house species formerly placed in at least four sections of *Chenopodium*. However, no infrageneric taxa and combinations were proposed for taxa transferred to *Oxybasis*. Here I transfer to the newly recognized genus the names of the sections discussed, validated, or mentioned in our earlier publications (Clemants & Mosyakin 2003; Mosyakin 2002, 2012a, 2012b; Mosyakin & Clemants 1996).

#### Oxybasis Kar. & Kir. sect. Oxybasis

Chenopodium L. sect. Degenia Aellen, Magyar Bot. Lapok 25: 56. 1927. Blitum L. sect. Degenia (Aellen) Mosyakin, Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. 69(3): 395. 2012.

This section contains Oxybasis chenopodioides (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (incl. Oxybasis minutiflora Kar. & Kir., the type of the genus) and O. macrosperma (Hook. f.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (Chenopodium macrospermum Hook. f., the lectotype of Chenopodium sect. Degenia, see Wilson 1983; Fuentes et al., 2012b).

Oxybasis Kar. & Kir. sect. Pseudoblitum (Hook. f.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium L. sect. Pseudoblitum Hook. f., in Benth. et Hook. f., Gen. Pl. 3: 52. 1880. Blitum L. sect. Pseudoblitum (Hook. f.) Mosyakin, Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. 69(3): 394. 2012.

Chenopodium L. subgen. Pseudoblitum Gren. & Godr., Fl. France 3: 22. 1855, as "Pseudo-Blitum", nom. illeg. Blitum L. subgen. Pseudoblitum Schur, Enum. Pl. Transsilv.: 571. 1866, nom. illeg. Chenopodium L. sect. Pseudoblitum Syme, in Sowerby, Engl. Bot., ed. 3, 8: 20. 1868, nom. illeg.

Chenopodium L. [unranked] Rubra Standl., North Amer. Fl. 21: 29. 1916.

This section houses its type, Oxybasis rubra (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (Chenopodium rubrum L.), and some closely related taxa. For example, S. Fuentes-Bazan et al. (2012b) mentioned a recently described species, Chenopodium gubanovii Sukhor., but refrained from any nomenclatural actions, indicating that "...molecular analysis is needed to confirm its placement in Oxybasis: glabrous plants, sparingly branched stem, 2–4 almost free perianth segments somewhat enlargening in fruit and vertical seeds might refer also to Blitum." Sukhorukov, the author of C. gubanovii, expressed the opinion that it is closely related to other Oxybasis species but not to Blitum (Sukhorukov & Zhang 2013).

\_\_\_\_\_

Fuentes-Bazan et al. (2012b) attributed the name *Chenopodium* subgen. *Pseudoblitum* to Grenier and Godron. However, when describing their subgenus (as *Pseudo-Blitum*), Grenier and Godron (1. c.) included in it two species, *Chenopodium rubrum* and *C. bonus-henricus* L. The last one is the type of *Orthosporum* C.A. Mey. subgen. *Agathophytum* T. Nees (Gen. Pl. Fl. Germ., t. 57. 1835.) based on the illegitimate generic name *Agathophytum* Moq. (Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot. sér. 2, 1: 291. 1834), often erroneously cited as "*Agathophyton*", a synonym of *Anserina* Dumort. (Fl. Belg.: 21. 1827), which makes the name proposed by Grenier and Godron illegitimate because of the availability of an earlier subgeneric name. The name *Chenopodium* sect. *Pseudoblitum* proposed by Syme (l.c.) is

explicitly based on the illegitimate subgeneric name of Grenier and Godron, but Syme also included in this section C. bonus-henricus, in addition to C. rubrum and C. glaucum L., despite the available sectional name Blitum sect. Agathophyton Moq. (in DC., Prodr. 13, 2: 84. 1849.). Schur (l. c.) also listed several species in his Blitum subgen. Pseudoblitum, including Blitum bonus-henricus (L.) C.A. Mey. It seems that Hooker (l. c.) was the first author who validly described the sectional name, without any reference to Grenier and Godron or other authors, and explicitly applied it to the group containing Chenopodium rubrum, C. glaucum, and C. antarcticum (Hook. f.) Hook. f. (Blitum antarcticum Hook. f.). The two latter species are now placed in their own sections.

Some presumably native North American plants differ from typical Oxybasis rubra in having somewhat larger seeds, prostrate or spreading stems, and leaf blade margins entire to shallowly dentate. In the Flora of North America North of Mexico (Clemants & Mosyakin 2003) they were recognized as a variety Chenopodium rubrum var. humile (Hook.) S. Watson and are transferred here to Oxybasis.

Oxybasis rubra (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila & Borsch var. humilis (Hook.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium humile Hook., Fl. Bor.-Amer. 2: 127. 1838. Chenopodium rubrum L. var. humile (Hook.) S. Watson in W.H. Brewer et al., Bot. California 2: 48. 1880. Chenopodium rubrum L. subsp. humile (Hook.) Hultén, Kungl. Svenska Vetens.-akad. Handl. 13(1): 304. 1971.

Oxybasis Kar. & Kir. sect. Glauca (Standl.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. *Chenopodium* L. [unranked] *Glauca* Standl., North Amer. Fl. 21: 29. 1916. *Chenopodium* L. subsect. *Glauca* (Standl.) A.J. Scott, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 100: 216. 1978. *Chenopodium* L. sect. *Glauca* Ignatov, Sosud. Rast. Sovet. Dal'nego Vostoka (Сосуд. раст. совет. Дальнего Востока) 3: 22. 1988. *Blitum* L. sect. *Glauca* (Standl.) Mosyakin, Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. 69(3): 395. 2012.

This section contains a very polymorphic and widespread species Oxybasis glauca (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (Chenopodium glaucum L.). In my opinion, this is rather a species aggregate than a species; it is represented on various continents by several geographically and morphologically more or less distinct entities, which may be treated as subspecies or even species. However, the geographical and morphological pattern is blurred by the present-day wide secondary distribution of O. glauca due to its human-assisted introduction to all continents except Antarctica. Of these entities, the most distinctive are those described in the past as separate species and occurring as native taxa in North America (Chenopodium salinum Standl.) and East Asia (C. amurense Ignatov). Presumably native Australian plants of that group, known as Chenopodium ambiguum R. Br., C. glaucum subsp. ambiguum (R. Br.) Thell., or C. glaucum var. ambiguum (R. Br.) Hook. f., also merit recognition at least as a subspecies (or probably even as a separate species). More research, and especially molecular studies, are needed to clarify the taxonomic structure of the Oxybasis glauca aggregate worldwide, with special attention to presumably native non-European taxa.

Here I propose new combinations at subspecies rank to house the native Australian, East Asian, and North American representatives of the Oxybasis glauca aggregate.

 Oxybasis glauca (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch subsp. ambigua (R. Br.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. *Chenopodium ambiguum* R. Br., Prodr. Fl. Nov. Holland.: 407. 1810. C. glaucum L. subsp. *ambiguum* (R. Br.) Murr & Thell. ex Thell., Mémoires de la Société Nationale des Sciences Naturelles et Mathématiques de Cherbourg (Fl. Advent. Montpellier) 38: 196. 1912. C. glaucum L. var. ambiguum (R. Br.) Hook. f., Bot. Antarct. Voy. Part 3 (Fl. Tasmaniae) 1: 313. 1857.

Oxybasis glauca (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch subsp. amurensis (Ignatov) Mosyakin, comb. nov. *Chenopodium amurense* Ignatov, Byull. Moskovsk. Obshch. Isp. Prir., Otd. Biol. (Бюлл. МОИП, Отд. Биол.) 91(3): 111. 1986. Oxybasis glauca (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch subsp. salina (Standl.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium salinum Standl., North Amer. Fl. 21(1): 29. 1916. Chenopodium glaucum L. subsp. salinum (Standl.) Aellen, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 26: 46. 1929. Chenopodium glaucum L. var. salinum (Standl.) B. Boivin, Canad. Field-Naturalist 65: 17. 1951. Oxybasis glauca (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch var. salina (Standl.) Verloove, New J. Bot. 3: 59. 2013.

Oxybasis glauca may occasionally hybridize with O. rubra, forming morphologically intermediate hybrids described as *Chenopodium* × schulzeanum Murr; it was recently transferred to Blitum (Mosyakin 2012a) but now should be transferred to Oxybasis.

- **Oxybasis** × schulzeana (Murr) Mosyakin, comb. nov. *Chenopodium* × schulzeanum Murr, Allg. Bot. Zeitschr. 12: 110. 1906. Blitum × schulzeanum (Murr) Mosyakin, Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. 69: 395. 2012.
- Oxybasis Kar. & Kir. sect. Urbica (Standl.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium L. [unranked] Urbica Standl., North Amer. Fl. 21: 11. 1916. Chenopodium L. subsect. Urbica (Standl.) Mosyakin & Clemants, Novon 6: 400. 1996. Chenopodium L. sect. Urbica (Standl.) Mosyakin, Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. 59: 700. 2002.

In our Flora of North America treatment (Clemants & Mosyakin 2003) we noted that, "[J]udging from its leaf morphology and anatomy, inflorescence structure, and floral characteristics, Chenopodium urbicum probably should be transferred to subg. Blitum, where it would occupy a position transitional toward subg. Chenopodium." Initially we treated this group as a subsection within sect. Chenopodium (Mosyakin & Clemants 1996), and later I elevated its rank and placed sect. Urbica in subgen. Blitum, next to sections Degenia and Pseudoblitum (Mosyakin 2002).

Oxybasis Kar. & Kir. sect. Thellungia (Aellen) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium L. sect. Thellungia Aellen, Verh. Naturforsch. Ges. Basel 41: 103. 1931.

Earlier I placed this section in Chenopodium subgen. Blitum (Mosyakin 2002). However, after the "resurrection" of the generic name Oxybasis, it should be transferred to that genus. Only one species, Chenopodium antarcticum (Hook. f.) Hook. f., was originally included in this section by Aellen (1931). However, I believe that another species, previously known as Chenopodium erosum R.Br., should be also placed here. This decision is based on morphology, since neither of these species was included in recent molecular studies. Seed morphology of C. antarcticum also indicates its affinity to the Oxybasis clade (Sukhorukov & Zhang 2013). The proper sectional (and even generic) placement of the taxon known as *Chenopodium mexicanum* Moq. remains more problematic, and because of that I refrain from transferring it to another genus.

Oxybasis antarctica (Hook. f.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Blitum antarcticum Hook. f., Bot. Antarct. Voy. Part 1 (Fl. Antarct.) 1: 549. 1847. Chenopodium antarcticum (Hook. f.) Hook. f., in Benth. & Hook. f., Gen. Pl. 3(1): 52. 1880.

Oxybasis erosa (R. Br.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium erosum R. Br., Prodr. Fl. Nov. Holland. 407. 1810.

Chenopodium erosum occurring in New Zealand (from where it has been described and where it is possibly extinct now) and Australia was considered by Wilson (1984) as "one of two [species] of the sect. Leprophyllum apparently native to Australia." He also noted that this plant "has the aspect of an introduced Eurasian species, however, I was unable to match it with any extra-Australian plant." Based on morphological characters of specimens seen in various herbaria, I earlier placed this species in Chenopodium subgen. Blitum sect. Thellungia, together with C. antarcticum (Mosyakin 2002) and definitely not in *Chenopodium* sect. *Chenopodium* (= sect. *Leprophyllum* Dumort.). Thus the section Thellungia most probably has a disjunct range, with one fragment in southernmost South America

(*O. antarctica*) and another in Australia and New Zealand (*O. erosum*). Such a biogeographical vicariance pattern was probably formed due to an ancient long-distance dispersal event; similar trans-Pacific distribution patterns are known for many other vascular plant taxa belonging to various families (see overview by Winkworth et al. 2002).

# CHENOPODIASTRUM S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch

This genus contains two rather distinct groups, one including *Chenopodiastrum murale* (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*Chenopodium murale* L.) and related taxa, and another housing three or four closely related species or subspecies forming the aggregate of *Chenopodiastrum hybridum* (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*Chenopodium hybridum* L.). Both groups were previously recognized as sections or subsections in *Chenopodium* (Aellen 1960–1961; Aellen & Iljin 1936; Aellen & Just 1943; Scott 1978; Clemants & Mosyakin 2003; Mosyakin 1993, 2003; Mosyakin & Clemants 1996 etc.).

# Chenopodiastrum sect. Chenopodiastrum

This section contains the type of the genus, *Chenopodiastrum murale*. Fuentes-Bazan et al. (2012b) also recognized *Chenopodiastrum coronopus* (Moq.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*Chenopodium coronopus* Moq.) but did not mention *Chenopodium fasciculosum* Aellen, the East African and Arabian relative of *C. murale*, which seems to be morphologically transitional toward the *C. hybridum* group. The new combinations for that rather distinctive species and its variety are provided below.

Chenopodiastrum fasciculosum (Aellen) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium fasciculosum Aellen, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 24: 344. 1928.

- Chenopodiastrum fasciculosum (Aellen) Mosyakin var. schimperi (Asch.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium murale L. var. schimperi Asch., in Schweinf., Beitr. Fl. Aethiop.: 184. 1867. Chenopodium fasciculosum Aellen var. schimperi (Asch.) M.G. Gilbert, Nordic J. Bot. 19: 411. 1999.
- Chenopodium fasciculosum Aellen var. muraliforme Aellen, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 24: 344. 1928.
- Chenopodiastrum S.Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch sect. Grossefoveata (Mosyakin) Mosyakin, comb. nov. Chenopodium L. sect. Grossefoveata Mosyakin, Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. 50: 75. 1993 (cum auct. "Aellen & Iljin ex Mosyakin").

Chenopodium [unranked] Hybrida Standl., North Amer. Fl. 21: 13. 1916.

Chenopodium L. sect. Chenopodia C.A. Mey. ser. Grossefoveata Aellen & Iljin, Fl. URSS, 6: 55.
1936, nom. inval. (descr. ross.). Chenopodium L. sect. Chenopodium subsect. Grossefoveata Aellen in Aellen & Just, Amer. Midl. Nat. 30: 75. 1943, nom. inval. (descr. angl.); Aellen in Hegi, Illustr. Fl. Mitteleur. ed. 2, 3(2): 577. 1961, nom. inval. (descr. germ.).

This section includes three currently recognized species, *Chenopodiastrum hybridum*, *C. badachschanicum* (Tzvelev) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*Chenopodium badachschanicum* Tzvelev), *C. simplex* (Torr.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*Chenopodium simplex* (Torr.) Raf.; *C. gigantospermum* Aellen), and probably one yet undescribed East Asian entity (species or subspecies) discussed by Baranov (1964) and mentioned by Zhu et al. (2003).

# LIPANDRA Moq.

The sole member of this genus, *Lipandra polysperma* (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch (*Chenopodium polyspermum* L.), has two rather well outlined varieties, one of which (not containing the type of the species) has to be transferred to *Lipandra*.

Lipandra polysperma (L.) S. Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch var. acutifolia (Sm.) Mosyakin, comb. nov. *Chenopodium acutifolium* Sm., Comp. Fl. Brit.: 42. 1800. *Chenopodium polyspermum* L. var. *acutifolium* (Sm.) Gaudin, Fl. Helv. 2: 259. 1828.

The hybrid between *Chenopodium hybridum* and *C. polyspermum* has been described as *Chenopodium*  $\times$  *perhybridum* Ponert (1966) from the territory of the Czech Republic; it seems to occur very rarely but was occasionally reported from several European countries. If *Chenopodiastrum* and *Lipandra* are accepted as genera, the nothogeneric name (hybrid formula) has to be coined, and this hybrid is in need of a new combination.

× LIPASTRUM Mosyakin (= Chenopodiastrum S.Fuentes, Uotila, & Borsch × Lipandra Moq.)

× Lipastrum perhybridum (Ponert) Mosyakin, comb. nov. (*Chenopodiastrum hybridum* × *Lipandra polysperma*). *Chenopodium* × *perhybridum* Ponert, Feddes Repert. 73: 102. 1966.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to my colleagues with whom I discussed various aspects of taxonomy of *Chenopodium* s. l. and whose publications were extremely important to me, especially to Steven Clemants (1954–2008), Pertti Uotila, Helmut Freitag, Gudrun Kadereit, and Alexandr Sukhorukov, among many others. The collaboration of curators and staff of various herbaria, first of all KW, LE, MO, K, and SI, is greatly appreciated. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Peter Raven, James Solomon, Tatiana Shulkina, and many other colleagues at the Missouri Botanical Garden (St. Louis, Missouri) for facilitating my research at the MO herbarium and the Peter H. Raven Library. I am also grateful to Guy Nesom for his suggestions aimed at improving the style of the manuscript.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Aellen, P. 1931. Die wolladventiven Chenopodien Europas. Verh. Naturforsch. Ges. Basel 41: 77– 104.
- Aellen, P. and T. Just. 1943. Key and synopsis of the American species of the genus Chenopodium L. Amer. Midl. Naturalist 30: 47–76.
- Aellen, P. 1960–61. Chenopodiaceae. Pp. 533–762, in G. Hegi, Illustrierte Flora von Mitteleuropa, ed. 2, Band 3, Teil 2 (Lief. 2–4). Verlag Paul Parey, Berlin & Hamburg.
- Aellen, P. and M.M. Iljin. 1936. *Chenopodium* L. Pp. 41–73, *in* Flora of the USSR. Volume 6. Acad. Sci. USSR Publ., Moscow & Leningrad. [In Russian: Флора СССР.]
- Baranov, A.I. 1964. On the perianth and seed characters of *Chenopodium hybridum* and *C. gigantospermum*. Rhodora 66: 168–171.
- Clemants, S.E. and S.L. Mosyakin. 2003. *Dysphania* R. Brown; *Chenopodium* Linnaeus. Pp. 267–299, *in* Flora of North America Editorial Committee (ed.), Flora of North America north of Mexico, Volume 4. Oxford Univ. Press, New York & Oxford.
- Fuentes-Bazan, S., G. Mansion, and T. Borsch. 2012. Towards a species level tree of the globally diverse genus *Chenopodium* (*Chenopodiaceae*). Molec. Phylogenet. Evol. 62: 359–374.
- Fuentes-Bazan, S., P. Uotila, and T. Borsch. 2012. A novel phylogeny-based generic classification for *Chenopodium* sensu lato, and a tribal rearrangement of *Chenopodioideae* (*Chenopodiaceae*). Willdenowia 42: 5–24.
- Kadereit, G., T. Borsch, K. Weising, and H. Freitag. 2003. Phylogeny of Amaranthaceae and Chenopodiaceae and the evolution of  $C_4$ -photosynthesis. Intl. J. Pl. Sci. 164: 959–986.

Kadereit, G., D. Gotzek, S. Jacobs, and H. Freitag. 2005. Origin and age of Australian Chenopodiaceae. Organisms, Diversity & Evol. 5: 59–80.

Kadereit, G., E.V. Mavrodiev, E.H. Zacharias, and A.P. Sukhorukov. 2010. Molecular phylogeny of Atripliceae (Chenopodioideae, Chenopodiaceae): implications for systematics, biogeography, flower and fruit evolution, and the origin of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis. Amer. J. Bot. 97: 1664–1687.
Melzer, H. 1987. *Chenopodium bonus-henricus* × *foliosum* = *Ch.* × *tkalcsicsii* Melzer hybr. nov. – eine neue Hybride zweier Sehr unterschiedlicher Arten. Ber. Bayer. Bot. Ges. 58: 73–77.
Mosyakin, S.L. 1993. An outline of a system for *Chenopodium* L. (species of Europe, North and Central Asia). Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. (Укр. ботан. журн.) 50(5): 71–77.

Mosyakin, S.L. 1996. *Chenopodium* L. Pp. 27–44, *in* Tzvelev, N.N. (ed.), Flora Europae orientalis. (Flora of Eastern Europe.), Volume 9. Mir i Semya-95 Publ., St. Petersburg. [In Russian: Флора Восточной Европы.]

- Mosyakin, S.L. 2002. The system and phytogeography of *Chenopodium* subgen. *Blitum* (L.) I. Hiitonen (Chenopodiaceae). Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. (Укр. ботан. журн.) 59(6): 696–701. [In Ukrainian: Система та фітогеографія *Chenopodium* L. subgen. *Blitum* (L.) I. Hiitonen (Chenopodiaceae).]
- Mosyakin, S.L. 2003. The system and phytogeography of *Chenopodium* subgen. *Chenopodium* (Chenopodiaceae). Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. (Укр. ботан. журн.) 60(1): 26–32. [In Ukrainian: Система та фітогеографія *Chenopodium* L. subgen. *Chenopodium* (Chenopodiaceae).]
- Mosyakin, S.L. 2012a. New nomenclatural combinations in *Blitum* L. and *Kali* Mill. (Chenopodiaceae): Taxa occurring in Eastern Europe. Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. (Укр. ботан. журн.) 69(3): 393–396.
- Mosyakin, S.L. 2012b. Teloxys Moq., Dysphania R.Br., Blitum L., Chenopodium L. Pp. 276–286, in Tzvelev, N.N. and D.V. Geltman (eds.), Conspectus Florae Europae Orientalis. Volume 1. KMK Scientific Press, St. Petersburg & Moscow. [In Russian: Конспект флоры Восточной Европы.]
- Mosyakin, S.L. and S.E. Clemants. 1996. New infrageneric taxa and combination in *Chenopodium* L. (Chenopodiaceae). Novon 6: 398–403.
- Mosyakin, S.L. and S.E. Clemants. 2002. New nomenclatural combinations in *Dysphania* R. Br. (Chenopodiaceae): Taxa occurring in North America. Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. (Укр. ботан. журн.) 59(4): 380–385.
  Mosyakin, S.L. and S.E. Clemants. 2008. Further transfers of glandular-pubescent species from *Chenopodium* subg. *Ambrosia* to *Dysphania* (Chenopodiaceae). J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas. 2: 425–431.
- Scott, A.J. 1978. A review of the classification of *Chenopodium* L. and related genera (*Chenopodiaceae*). Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 100: 205-220.
- Standley, P.C. 1916. *Chenopodiaceae*. Pp. 1–93, *in* North American Flora. Volume 21(1). New York Botanic Garden, New York.
- Sukhorukov, A.P. and M. Zhang. 2013. Fruit and seed anatomy of *Chenopodium* and related genera (Chenopodioideae, Chenopodiaceae/Amaranthaceae): Implications for evolution and taxonomy. PLoS ONE 8(4): e61906 (18 pp.)
- Uotila, P. 1979. Chenopodium exsuccum, a neglected species of the C. foliosum aggregate. Ann. Bot. Fenn. 16: 237–240.
- Uotila, P. 1993. Taxonomic and nomenclatural notes on *Chenopodium* in the Flora Iranica area. Ann. Bot. Fenn. 30: 189–194.
- Uotila, P. 1997. *Chenopodium*. Pp. 24–59, *in* Hedge, I.C. (ed.), Flora Iranica. Volume 172. Akademische Druck- und Verlagsanstalt, Graz.
- Uotila, P. 2001. Chenopodium. Pp. 13–52, in Ali, S.I. and M. Qaiser (eds.), Flora of Pakistan. Volume 204. Karachi University, Karachi & Missouri Botanical Garden, St Louis.
- Uotila, P. 2013. *Dysphania* sect. *Botryoides* (Amaranthaceae s.lat.) in Asia. Willdenowia 43: 65–80. Welsh, S.L. 1984. Utah Flora: Chenopodiaceae. Great Basin Naturalist. 44: 183–209.
- Wilson, P.G. 1984. Chenopodiaceae. Pp. 81–317, in George, A.S. (ed.), Flora of Australia, Volume
  4. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.
- Winkworth, R.C., S.J. Wagstaff, D. Glenny, and P.J. Lockhart. 2002. Plant dispersal N.E.W.S. from New Zealand. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 17: 514–520.

Zhu, G., S.L. Mosyakin, and S.E. Clemants. 2003. Chenopodiaceae. Pp. 351–414, in Wu Zhengyi and P.H. Raven (eds.), Flora of China. Volume 5. Science Press, Beijing & Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis.